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CHAPTER 5

Shaping the Anthropological Context of the “Salus populi Sinensis” Madonna Icon in Xian, China

Hui-Hung Chen

1 Background

The Jesuits were the devout patrons of the cult of the Holy Mother. In most of the surviving records written by the Chinese literati and officials who had befriended or were aware of Matteo Ricci (1552–1610), the Virgin Mary was frequently remarked upon in terms of the Christian image. Thanks to the Jesuits’ introduction of the Marian devotion, the faith and image of the Holy Mother developed into a powerful symbol of identity for local Chinese communities, one that helped them to survive the persecution of Christianity; the role of the cult in the survival of these communities accordingly offers fertile ground for exploring the attitudes of the Protestant missionaries toward the Jesuit legacy when they first arrived in China in the nineteenth century.1

In order to explore the encounter between Protestantism and Catholicism in China, this chapter begins by discussing a well-known Chinese Marian image with an unknown past, namely the Chinese-style copy of the Madonna icon of the Santa Maria Maggiore in Rome, housed in the Field Museum of Chicago in the United States (figs. 5.1, 5.2).

A visual comparison indicates that it is related to the Roman icon the Jesuits brought to China in the late sixteenth century.2 Given the similarities between the two, one scholar even goes so far as to claim that the Chinese icon deserves the name “Salus populi Sinensis” (Salvation of the Chinese people), equal to

---


2 The Xian painting was used as the frontispiece of an interdisciplinary work by various Jesuit and Western scholars, where it was explicitly dated as being of the “late sixteenth to early seventeenth century.” See John W. O’Malley, S.J., et al., eds., The Jesuits: Cultures, Sciences, and the Arts 1540–1773 (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1999).
**Figure 5.1** *Madonna with Child*, ink and color on paper, mounted on silk scroll, found in Xian, China, The Field Museum, Chicago, US
© THE FIELD MUSEUM, IMAGE NO. A114604_02D, CAT. NO. 116027, PHOTOGRAPHER JOHN WEINSTEIN.
the title “Salus populi Romani” of the Roman icon, to indicate the Marian role as the protector of the Chinese and Roman people.³

The painting was discovered by anthropologist Berthold Laufer (1874–1934) in Xian西安, Shaanxi province 陝西省, in 1910. From a historical and anthropological perspective, it is unclear what a Chinese duplicate with the visual

characteristics not only of the Roman icon but also of the Buddhist white-robed Guanyin would have meant to the Chinese in the chaotic period when it was originally discovered. As we will see, the Xian painting highlights a longer tradition among the local Catholic communities who preserved the Marian cult. This tradition contrasts with the situation when Christianity was re-introduced to China in the nineteenth century, as the new Catholic missionaries tended to avoid pursuing a strategy of cultural accommodation and syncretism, reflecting the detrimental and lasting effect of the Chinese Rites Controversy. For a similar reason, there were often conflicts between local lay leaders and the new missionaries, as the latter seemed to be seeking to gain control over the local communities, whereas the local lay leaders managed the communities in their own customary ways. As a result of this broader trend, it is likely that the inculcation apparent in the Xian Madonna was also rare in the eyes of contemporary Chinese, revealing a past history of Catholicism from which the new missionaries’ strategies diverged.

Given its significance in late imperial society and its role in forming and sustaining local Catholic communities, the Marian cult and its evolution in China needs to be examined in order to establish the broader context underlying the evangelization work of the Protestants and the Catholics when missionaries returned to the country in the nineteenth century. When Laufer acquired the Xian Madonna in the early twentieth century, north China was the site of encounters between the Protestant missionaries and the Catholic communities the Jesuits had helped to establish a number of centuries earlier. One of the most well-known Protestant missionaries active in the north, the Welsh Baptist Timothy Richard (1845–1919), admired many aspects of the Jesuits’ previous work, including their strategy of cultural accommodation. By re-contextualizing the Xian Madonna in the modern period, this chapter consequently seeks to highlight the Protestant encounter with an important aspect of the Jesuit legacy.

2 The Xian Madonna and Its Iconography

Laufer found the Xian Madonna painting in a non-Christian official’s house in Xian, or Xianfu西安府 (“Si-ngan fu,” in Laufer’s article), as it was known in the

---

Qing period (1644–1912). In Laufer’s view, the signature of a famous Chinese painter of the Ming dynasty, Tang Yin 唐寅 (1470–1524), should be viewed as a later addition, since Jesuit missionaries had yet to appear in the country at the time of Tang’s death (fig. 5.3).

According to this interpretation, the original signature may have been erased and replaced with the forged signature of Tang, as possibly indicated by a white scratch that is still visible against the plain dark background of the painting. The official from whom Laufer acquired this painting assured him that his family had owned it for “at least five or six generations,” which would suggest that it had been in the family since the middle of the eighteenth century. Tang’s signature may have been added in the Yongzheng 雍正 period (1723–35), when anti-foreign and anti-Christian attitudes became dominant at court and in wider society, with the aim of preventing the image’s destruction. In Laufer’s words, the painting’s owners “substituted the magic name of T’ang-ying for whom all Chinese evince such a deep reverence that it acted sufficiently as a protecting talisman. And it is due to this wonder only that the painting has been preserved to the present day.”

Laufer’s collection, which is currently online on the website of the American Museum of Natural History, contains over 6,500 objects he gathered in China, and Tang is among the few prominent Chinese painters whose names appear in this collection and Laufer’s reports (figs. 5.4, 5.5). Hence Laufer’s dating was based on his knowledge of Tang’s original signature and other works. There were also two records in the Field Museum describing the painting as a work from the eighteenth century (fig. 5.6).

Laufer presented the painting to the Franciscan missions in Xian and their bishop Auguste-Jean-Gabriel Maurice (in office 1911–16). Maurice greatly admired the painting, stating that he had “never seen a similar one during his lifelong residence of this city.” Maurice then summoned some Chinese priests who concluded that the work “was executed by a Chinese” in the Wanli period (1572–1620), when Ricci first entered China. Moreover, Laufer also states that the painting had been re-mounted on silk around a year before he acquired it, thereby replacing the original silk, which, he claims to have been told, dated to the Ming period (1368–1644). Thus, in Laufer’s view, Tang’s signature was a forgery, and the original painting would have been produced in the late Ming period.

---

7 Five of Tang’s paintings were found in this collection; the call numbers are 70/4548, 70/9942, 70/11417, 70/11418, and 70/11977. For details of Laufer’s expedition, see Bennet Bronson, “Berthold Laufer,” *Fieldiana: Anthropology*, n.s. 36 (September 2003): 117–26, here 118–19.
9 Ibid., 3.
Figure 5.3  Signature of Tang Yin, Xian Madonna, The Field Museum, Chicago, US
© THE FIELD MUSEUM, IMAGE NO. A114604_02D, CAT. NO. 116027, PHOTOGRAPHER JOHN WEINSTEIN.
Figure 5.4  Tang Yin, *Portrait of Flute Player*, paper scroll, Anthropology Catalog no. 70/11418. Courtesy of the Division of Anthropology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, US.
Figure 5.5(a, b) Two pages from Laufer's field notebooks, nos. 2421, 2422, 503 on the above all works of Tang Yin, no. 2422 is the note for the painting of Fig. 5.4.

Courtesy of the Division of Anthropology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, US
The Xian reproduction was made with ink and color pigments mounted on a silk scroll. The bright red of the Madonna’s halo and the boy’s Chinese garment attracts the viewer into the mystery of the image, which is mingled with the vivid Chinese pictorial style and format. The central figure of the Madonna wears a long white garment, holding a Chinese boy in her left arm. When comparing this image with the Roman Madonna, it is clear that the poses and hand gestures of the Madonna and her child are the same as those of the original. However, the Chinese boy no longer has a halo. The linear expression of the Madonna’s drapery in the scroll belongs to Chinese pictorial traditions, but the shading appears to emulate European chiaroscuro techniques. The hair and dress of the little boy is depicted in a way that is consistent with Chinese tradition. He also holds a Chinese-bound book in his left hand. In terms of the representation of the subject, the image is in all likelihood a Chinese version of the Roman icon, although there is no direct evidence to connect its mother version to the Jesuit mission. Its visual qualities consequently serve as compelling evidence of the Roman icon’s appearance in China.

The Roman icon is believed to have entered China in Ricci’s period. Ricci’s personal account repeatedly specifies the presence of the Madonna icon of St. Luke from Santa Maria Maggiore, and he presented a painting of the Virgin Mary by St. Luke to the Chinese emperor Wanli (r. 1572–1620) in 1601. Ricci describes the painting as “a very large image in the form of St. Maria Maggiore, brought from Rome and well painted” (una immagine molto grande della forma di S. Maria Maggiore, venuta di Roma et assai ben pinta). Unfortunately, neither this painting, nor any other duplicate, survives today. Additionally, a panel with oil paintings of “the Virgin Mary and Child” on the two sides, apparently duplications also of the Roman icon, was found in Macao. In 2010, the Xian iconography in Hui-Hung Chen, “Liangfu yesuhuishi de shengmu shengxiang: Jianlun mingmo tianzhujiao de zongjiao” [Two Jesuit Madonna icons: Religious dimensions of Catholicism in late Ming China], Taida lishi xuebao [Historical inquiry] 59 (June 2017): 49–118, here 53–63.


Madonna was displayed in the Vatican’s exhibition commemorating the four-hundredth anniversary of Ricci’s death.13

This Roman icon was especially associated with the Jesuits. According to art historian Gauvin Bailey, the Jesuits “perpetuated the early medieval devotion to the miraculous image,” and Madonna icons and cults were disseminated to the wider world from Europe, such as the Madonna of Santa Maria Maggiore in Rome, the Madonna del Popolo in Rome, and the Virgin of Loreto.14 The Madonna of Santa Maria Maggiore in Rome was particularly associated with the Jesuits’ missions to China and Japan. In 1569, the superior general of the Society of Jesus, Francisco de Borja (in office 1565–72), petitioned Pope Pius V (r.1566–72) for permission to make a replica of the *Salus populi Romani* icon in the Santa Maria Maggiore in Rome. This icon was believed to be an authentic portrait of Mary, painted in person by St. Luke, according to ancient Catholic tradition. It was believed to be an *acheiropoieton* (something not made by hand), or a miraculous image, that bore the exact likeness of the

---


Virgin's face. Around the same year of 1569, Ricci, the Italian Jesuit who would later become the most prominent Jesuit missionary in China in the early stage, joined the recently founded Marian Congregation of Rome. Superior General Borja's request was granted, and additional copies of the icon were produced to accompany the Jesuits' missions around the world. The Roman icon was a particularly effective choice for use in missionary work, as it was celebrated as a sacred image and as a symbolic relic of the Virgin Mary, thus bearing dual features of representation and true presence.

Laufer claimed that the painting was popularly identified in Xian with *Tianzhu shengmu* ("T'ien-chu shêng mu"). In other words, the local people in Xian identified the female figure as the Christian Holy Mother rather than mistaking the subject for a native deity, such as "Kuan-yin." *Tianzhu shengmu* was literally translated as the Holy Mother of the Lord of Heaven, an appellation already settled in the late Ming period for the Virgin Mary. Ricci used the two Chinese characters *Tianzhu*, meaning "Lord of Heaven," to translate "Dio"; thus the Holy Mother, as "Signora Madre di Dio," was translated in Chinese as *Tianzhu shengmu*. *Tianzhu* and *Tianzhu shengmu* have been standardized in the following years. If the local context of the Xian Madonna had known the title *Tianzhu shengmu* for long, or people had recognized it with the Jesuit appellation, it could mean that this Madonna would have in all likelihood been considered from the Jesuit missions.

Despite being an intentional copy of the Roman icon, with which it shares many similarities, there are also five noticeable changes from the original, the first of which is the color of the Madonna's robe. In the Western tradition, the Madonna is never depicted wearing white, yet this is not the case with the Xian Madonna, whose white robe is clearly similar to depictions of the white-robed Guanyin. Second, the Chinese Madonna is painted in full-length rather than in the half-length type of the original, so that her two feet are depicted

---

17 For a history of the reproduction and distribution of this Marian icon from Europe to the rest of the world, see Pasquale M. D’Elia, "La prima diffusione nel mondo dell’immagine di Maria Salus populi Romani," *Fede e arte* (October 1954): 1–11.
and rendered barefoot. This style is identical to the way Guanyin was depicted in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, with the goddess never being portrayed in half-length (fig. 5.7) as this would have been deemed inappropriate by the Chinese.20

The third difference between the painting and the original icon is the disappearance of the cross on the garment at the front of the Madonna’s forehead. The fourth is that the child has no halo, in strong contrast to the bright red halo of the Madonna—who thus appears to be the only divine figure in the painting—which conforms to the iconography used to depict Guanyin, where the focus of divinity lies in the main female figure. The fifth and final change concerns the technical and stylistic methods used in its composition, such as the imitation of the drapery. It is unknown which exact model, supposedly a replica in painting or print functioning as a medium agent in this Chinese translation, was used by the Chinese maker.

Hence the Chinese would have been attracted to the image’s depiction of “a woman holding a child,” with the divinity of this sacred image deriving from it being based on the female figure. Both the iconographical type of “a woman holding a child” and the divinity of the female figure could have been derived from the indigenous Guanyin cult. This iconography, nevertheless, completely diverged from the theological meaning of the image of Madonna with Child and would also have been in conflict with the meaning of the Virgin Mary that the missionaries tried to convey in their texts. The Xian Madonna represents the Roman icon through Chinese stylistic characteristics while retaining almost every fundamental feature of the original icon. Although it is a copy of the Madonna icon, the image is also an image of Guanyin.

Guanyin, the deity of mercy or goddess of compassion, is the Chinese name for the Buddhist bodhisattva, Avalokiteśvara, one of the most significant Buddhist cults in China. One of the most common representations was the image of the feminine white-robed Guanyin 白衣觀音. Chinese Buddhists associated this cult with fertility and would petition the white-robed Guanyin for a male child, thus giving Guanyin the name “the Bestower of Sons” 送子觀音 or “Child-Giving Guanyin.” The white-robed Guanyin derives from a goddess in esoteric Buddhism, and the white color of her mantle symbolizes the deity’s

20 Charbo F. Hartman suggested that the Chinese portraiture of ancestors and divinities did not depict a half-length figure, which might be considered mutilated somehow or deemed inappropriate, see his letter of June 15, 1966, to Kenneth Starr, the curator of the Field Museum, which is housed in the Field Museum’s archive.
Figure 5.7  White-robed Guanyin, from Sancai tuhui yibailiu juan, woodcut, original edition in 1609. © NATIONAL CENTRAL LIBRARY, TAIPEI, TAIWAN, CALL NUMBER 309 08059.
maternity for all of the heavenly deities, buddhas and bodhisattvas. However, as historian Chün-fang Yü has stated, the white-robed Guanyin is “a fertility goddess who nevertheless is devoid of sexuality. She gives children to others, but she is never a mother [...]; she is thus a figure of motherliness, but not of motherhood.”21 According to canonical descriptions, Guanyin was a bodhisattva with multiple and expedient variants in Buddhist doctrine; therefore, even though feminine Guanyin imagery predominated during the Ming period, Guanyin was usually portrayed as an androgynous figure, and thus in a way that clearly differs from the Christian notion of the Holy Mother.22

One of Guanyin’s legendary acolytes, present within sixteenth-century iconography and folklore, was Sudhana, a young pilgrim who became a legendary devotee and attendant of Guanyin. Sudhana was usually depicted as a child and positioned beside Guanyin (fig. 5.8). As a result, images of Guanyin often contain a child who is either Sudhana or a symbol of the child-giving power of Guanyin. Consequently, the child depicted in Guanyin imagery was never used to represent the divinity. Instead, the child was usually depicted paying reverence to the central figure, Guanyin. Thus, although the Xian Madonna can be seen as an image of Guanyin, it is either a visual appropriation or combination of the two religions. Alternatively, the blending of Christian and Chinese pictorial styles in the Xian Madonna may have been a localized effort to conceal an overtly Christian message. Another picture with the dual subject identification of the Guanyin/Madonna, along with the similar style and tradition to the Xian painting, also bears the signature of Tang (fig. 5.9). Tang’s attribution in such dual iconography or any relevant traditions requires further investigation.

3 The Long Century of Christian Persecution

This Madonna/Guanyin image survived the persecution of Christianity in China. Christians had been persecuted in China since the late Yongzheng period,


yet it was from the 1740s onward that they began to face severe repression. The historical evidence suggests that the religious persecution was primarily led by local authorities, who harbored a much stronger hatred of Catholicism than the imperial court in Beijing.23

23 The official Chinese documents containing requests to supervise local Catholic communities belong to the imperial court archives, now housed in the First Historical Archives of China, Beijing. A total of 669 documents have now been published; see Zhongguo diyi lishi danganguan [The First Historical Archives of China], ed., Qing zhongqianqi xiyang tianzhujiao zaihua huodong dangan shiliao [Archival sources of Western Catholicism in China in the early and middle Qing periods], 4 vols. (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju 中华書局, 2003).
By the 1700s, there were around two hundred thousand Christians in China, and the missionaries included the Jesuits, who were the majority, as well as the Franciscans and Dominicans.24 However, the Jesuit population declined after 1720 due to the Chinese Rites controversy. In the period between 1720 and 1842, when the missionaries were in exile, the Chinese clergy and the faithful

sustained the Christian communities and their religious life. The Xian Madonna is one of the few Christian objects to have survived this period, and its association with the Salus populi Romani icon and the Jesuits means that it is extremely rare.

The Jesuit missions to the two adjacent provinces of Shaanxi and Shanxi started in the 1620s. Alfonso Vagnoni (1568–1640), who may have been the first Jesuit to enter Shaanxi, translated the first Chinese hagiography of the Virgin Mary, written around 1624–29 and published in Shanxi. In 1633, he founded the Madonna congregation there. Another Jesuit, Etienne Faber (1597–1657), went to Xian at some stage after 1635 and founded the Madonna congregation in Shaanxi. In the early Jesuit period, Shanxi and Shaanxi were supported by the Beijing residence (fig. 5.12). The Madonna congregations in these northwestern areas would thus have served as the basis for a local tradition of Marian faith.

The missionaries were officially readmitted to the mainland after 1842; however, anti-Christian sentiment continued to persist in Chinese society. In addition to the local authorities’ opposition to Christianity, historian Paul Cohen argues that the local gentry advocated orthodoxy and condemned heresy from Confucian perspectives as a way to defend their cultural traditions and social standing. The missionaries were provided political protection because of the treaties, but this very political implication tended to become the reason for Chinese opposition to the religious intention of the missionaries.

During this period of repression, the presence of Catholic books and images, as well as rosaries and crucifixes, was frequently used as evidence of Catholicism among the faithful who were practicing their religion underground:

[They] learned from the Catholic Church in the capital [Beijing] and were also baptized […]. In addition, according to reports from Xianxian

25 Huang Yilong, Liangtou she: Mingmo qingchu de diyidai tianzhujiaotu 兩頭蛇:明末清初的第一代天主教徒 [Double heads of snake: The first generation of Catholics in the late Ming and early Qing periods] (Hsinchu, Taiwan: Guoli qinghua daxue chubanshe 國立清華大學出版社 [National Tsinghua University Press], 2005), 472–78.


of the Hejian prefect, a villager was identified, Zhou Shijun, whose family had housed Catholic paintings, scriptures, and crosses for generations. He had stated that those objects had been brought back by his father from the capital, and so on [...].

在京師天主堂傳習入教 […] 又據河間府獻縣稟報，訪有村民周士俊，周宗家藏天主教畫像，經文十字架，訊係周宗故父在京帶回各等情 […]。

(1746, prefect of Hejian 河間府，直隸 Zhili)

I requested the local officials to thoroughly interrogate and investigate; moreover, they presented me with the case of Yan Deng and asked me to confront him in person. Although Yan’s house did not hide a foreigner named Bali [from Manila] or contain illegal communications, Yan possessed several Catholic images and books on rituals and feasts. Thus, it was clear that he had not yet repented.28

臣等督飭布按兩司嚴加究審，轉解臣等親訊嚴登，家內雖無藏匿吧黎及潛通信息情事，但仍行收藏天主各像及禮拜日期書冊，其未悔改，已有明徵。

(1750, prefect of Zhangzhou 漳州府，province of Fujian 福建省)

In the two cases above, the objects—images and books—were indicators of Catholicism. In other words, from the perspectives of Chinese officialdom, the objects were sufficient evidence to convict Chinese Christians of having “heretical beliefs.” Two other lists of objects confiscated from the houses of “Catholic heretics” comprised many Catholic images, including those of the Madonna, and prayer books for Christ and the Virgin Mary.29 Thus, as historian Lars Laamann argues, “a distinct form of ‘Chinese Christianity’ emerged,” which interacted with popular Chinese religions and enabled this “popular Christianity” to survive. Consequently, most of the references to Christian heretics and their encounters with heterodox religious traditions are found in northern China.30 Given this geographical and historical context, in which

---

28 Qing zhongqianqi xiyang tianzhujiao, 1:95, 171.
29 Wu Min 吳旻, and Han Qi 韓琦 eds., Ouzhou suocang yongzheng qianlongchao tianzhujiao wenxian huibian 歐洲所藏雍正乾隆朝天主教文獻匯編 [Collection of Catholic sources and literature from the dynasties of Yongzheng and Qianlong housed in Europe] (Shanghai: Shanghai remin chubanshe 上海人民出版社, 2008), 155–56 (1747, Fujian); Qing zhongqianqi xiyang tianzhujiao, 2:529–30 (1784, Province of Hunan 湖南省).
hidden communities would have had more interactions with local traditions, that the Xian Madonna was able to survive the persecutions may be linked to this kind of Catholic community.

4 Timothy Richard

From a long-term perspective, the Marian cult further infiltrated Chinese society at large and even coalesced with the local belief in Guanyin. More recent studies have also emphasized the significance of the Marian cult in late imperial Chinese society and its specific role in the formation of Catholic communities.31 It was these communities that would serve as the site of the Protestant encounter with the Jesuit legacy in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. One of the most well-known Protestant missionaries active in North China was the Welsh Baptist Timothy Richard, who admired the Jesuits and their strategy of cultural accommodation.

Richard arrived in China in 1870, first in Chefoo 芝罘, Shangdong 山東. He eventually traveled inland and settled in Chingzhou prefecture 青州府, located at the easternmost border prefecture, next to Xianxian 獻縣, next to Baoding prefecture 保定府, in Zhili, where the Jesuit mission was founded in 1857. In his memoirs, Richard states that he began studying the local Chinese religions to gain a better understanding of Chinese religious terminology after reading James Legge's (1815–97) books on Confucianism.32

Between 1876 and 1878, the northern Chinese provinces were devastated by famine, centering on the south of Shanxi province and extending to the areas of Shangdong, south Zhili, and Shaanxi, where Xian was located. Richard's help in responding to the famine earned him a favorable reputation, leading to conversions in Shangdong and Shanxi provinces; he visited Shanxi in 1876–81 and 1902–4. In his memoirs, Richard states that this extensive area comprised large groups of Christians, and their circle of interrelationships extended to the


southwest to Xian and to the east to Henan province 河南省.33 Thus, Richard confirmed that these extensive areas were populated by and interlinked with Christian communities.

Richard’s engagement in famine relief brought him closer to local culture. He studied Buddhism and Daoism and claimed that both faiths taught valuable lessons (fig. 5.10).34 This corresponds to his proposal to indigenize Christianity—“the best way to make Christianity indigenous was to adopt Chinese methods of propagation,” namely “the natives were to take the lead

33 Richard, Forty-Five Years in China, 147.
rather than the foreign missionary”35—which is why his method has been seen as similar to the Jesuit strategy of accommodation. When he first arrived in Shanxi province, he was asked to contact a Catholic bishop to learn of the situation with regard to Christianity. At that time, there were no Protestant missionaries in Shanxi province; however, two bishops and twelve clerics continued to sustain the religion the Jesuits had introduced two hundred years earlier. Richard began his missionary activities in the north by obtaining Chinese books on Catholicism written by the Jesuits in previous centuries as he believed that the Christian precepts they contained could still be used for the purposes of proselytization. Richard even gave Roman Catholic publications as gifts to Chinese intellectuals, thereby demonstrating his admiration of the early Jesuits such as Ricci, Adam Schall von Bell (1591–1666), and Ferdinand Verbiest (1623–1687), and their translations of Christian doctrines and European knowledge.36 His admiration of the previous Catholic missionaries also extended to the organization of the missions themselves, as he sought to emulate the organization of the Catholic missions in China, with different orders being assigned to different places— at that time, there had been no consensus among Protestant organizations over geographical assignments, and different Protestant organizations would often compete with each other in the same city or location.37 His intellectual concern propelled him to establish a Western university in Taiyuan City 太原市, Shanxi, in 1901, with a missionary and scholar based in Shaanxi province, Moir Duncan, serving as the university's chancellor (fig. 5.11).38

5 Conclusion

The Xian Madonna is a rare object testifying to the persistence of the Jesuit heritage in northern China. Its rarity also lies in its strangeness—the discovery of 1910 happened in a milieu when “Europeans were attempting to reverse a process of inculturation [...] that had already occurred in the Chinese Church.

---

35 Richard, Forty-Five Years in China, 86, 106–7 (quotations), 147–49, 205.
The Chinese Catholics vehemently resisted this reversal.39 From an anthropological and historical perspective, the Madonna’s survival resulted from the efforts of unknown Catholic or Jesuit communities. When the Protestant missionaries arrived in China, the icon served as evidence of the Jesuit heritage and a representation of Catholic identity. The Guanyin iconography and the local features corroborate its localization when the Chinese became the agent in the underground church during the long period of persecution. However, whether it was localized as a hybrid kind of Madonna/Guanyin, or intentionally created as a talisman for the Catholic Madonna, is unknown. As a product of inculturation, the Chinese authorities may have seen it as a heretical object of popular

39 Mungello, Catholic Invasion of China, 16.
Christianity. Its inculturation could also indicate what the returning Catholic missionaries in the nineteenth century had criticized, “accustomed activities of the Christian Virgins,” that is, the missionaries were concerned about “local Catholic communities behaving in unorthodox ways of worship.” This Madonna/Guanyin image reveals the long-term Jesuit heritage sustained by the faithful, yet it also testifies to the tension between church officials and local communities in the nineteenth-century missionary context.

Timothy Richard thus encountered Catholics and Catholicism in a context where the Marian cult remained energetic in “popular Christianity,” and in

---

MAP 1 Provinces of China.

Figures 5.12 Xian, Baoding, Shaanxi and Shanxi underlined. The map without underlines is taken from Lian Xi, Redeemed by Fire: The Rise of Popular Christianity in Modern China (New Heaven: Yale University, 2010), Map 1, Provinces of China. © Yale University Press.

---

which the Protestants had to face the existence of Catholic communities. To date, only limited research has been carried out on Richard’s evangelization work in China. Although he does not appear to have commented directly on the Marian cult, he clearly admired the Jesuit legacy, and we could wonder he saw the Marian devotion in sustaining local Catholic communities in his engagements with ordinary people. Further work on this encounter between a Jesuit tradition and the Protestant missionaries of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries would therefore deepen our understanding of local Catholicism and the complexity of Chinese Christianity as a whole.
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