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Introduction

In studies of the Northern Song (960–1127), Hu Yuan 胡瑗 (993–1059) is often presented as a transitional figure. Known as a “master of the early Northern Song,” he is considered to be a forerunner of the Cheng–Zhu school of Neo-Confucianism. Quan Zu wang 全祖望 (1705–1755), for instance, prefaced the chapter on Hu Yuan in the Song Yuan xue’an 宋元學案 (Intellectual Biographies of the Song and Yuan Periods) by stressing that the thriving of Song Neo-Confucian learning began with Hu Yuan and his contemporary, Sun Fu 孫復 (992–1057). To support his view, Quan reminded his readers that Cheng Yi 程頤 (1033–1107) – the co-founder of the Cheng–Zhu school of Neo-Confucianism – had studied with Hu Yuan at the Imperial Academy in Kaifeng (taixue 太學). Likewise, in discussing Hu Yuan’s contribution to the study of the Yi Jing (Book of Changes), the eighteenth-century compilers of the Siku quanshu (The Complete Works of the Emperor’s Four Treasuries) emphasized the link between Hu Yuan and Cheng Yi. Citing multiple sources illustrating Cheng’s debt to Hu in interpreting the Yi Jing, the compilers argued that, contrary to the conventional understanding, Cheng was more a student of Hu than of Zhou Dunyi 周敦頤.

I thank Edward Shaughnessy and Stanley Murashige for their comments on the earlier drafts of this article.

1 Song Yuan xue’an (Taipei: Zhonghua shu ju, Siku beiyao ed.), 1:1a.
Contemporary historians like Hou Wailu and Qian Mu continue this trend by stressing Hu's role in shaping the early development of Neo-Confucianism. 

Hu's importance to the Cheng-Zhu school of Neo-Confucianism notwithstanding, we will get a different picture of him if we locate him in his own times. Born at the end of emperor Taizong's reign (976–997) when the Song dynasty had just solidified its control over its territory, Hu belonged to the first generation of the Song scholar-literati with an acute sense of living in a new era. Part of the collective consciousness of this generation of the Song scholar-literati was their drive to distinguish themselves from their predecessors in the Five Dynasties (906–960) – a period of political disunity, militarism, and rapid change of government. Spending much of his mature life under emperors Zhenzong (r. 997–1022) and Renzong (r. 1023–1063), Hu witnessed the establishment of the civil governance, characterized by large numbers of scholar-literati being admitted into the Song bureaucracy by passing the civil service examinations. For Hu and his contemporaries, the pressing issue was to articulate the mission of these new civil bureaucrats, who believed that they “ruled the world with the emperor.”

In this article, I examine Hu's writings in the context of the first hundred years of the Northern Song. The goal of this study is twofold. First, in locating

---

2 Siku quanshu zongmu tiyao 四庫全書總目提要 (Shanghai: Commercial Press, 1933), p. 12.
4 In this article, I use two terms to identify two types of shi 士 (men of letters) in the early Northern Song. I use the term “scholar-literati” to refer to those men of letters who were yet to pass the civil service examinations, but took advantage of their educational background to cultivate a social network with the officials. I use the term “scholar-officials” to refer to those men of letters who joined the bureaucracy after successfully passing the civil service examinations. The distinction of these two types of men of letters is important, as it shows the variety of roles assumed by men of letters and the intricate relationship among different groups of them.
6 Zhang Qifan 張其凡 argues that beginning with Renzong's reign, the political structure of the Northern Song had been changed such that the scholar-officials felt like “they ruled the world with the emperor” (huangdi ya shidafu gong zhi tianxia 皇帝與士大夫共治天下). According to Zhang, there was a delicate balance of power in the political structure between the emperor, the ministers, and the censors. See Zhang, Songchu zhengzhì tanyan 宋初政治探研 (Guangzhou: Jinian daxue chubanshe, 1993), pp. 62-68. For a study of the change in the identity of the early Northern Song scholar-literati, see Peter K. Bol, “This Culture of Ours”: Intellectual Transitions in T'ang and Sung China (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1992), pp. 148-175.
Hu in his own times, I want to demonstrate how he responded to issues of his days as a creative thinker. In conventional historical accounts, much has been said about him as a man of action – a reformer of the Song school system, an inspiring teacher, and an expert of court musical instruments. Yet, little attention has been paid to him being a man of thought, who offered critical opinions on current affairs and insightful suggestions on governing. Based on a close reading of Hu’s writings, this study aims to show how he was actively involved in the political and philosophical debates of his times. Second, with this study of Hu, I wish to call attention to the vitality and dynamism of early Northern Song thought. Perhaps, as a result of our preoccupation with Neo-Confucianism and the broad social-economic change during the “Tang–Song transition,” we often overlook the first hundred years of the Northern Song. We seem to forget that drastic social and intellectual changes took place in tenth-century China, when the militarism of the Five Dynasties was gradually replaced by the civil governance of the early Northern Song. This study will demonstrate the importance of examining this major transformation in medieval China.

In this study, I focus on Hu Yuan’s commentary on the Yi jing, the Zhouyi kouyi (周易口義, The Orally Transmitted Meanings of Change of the Zhou [Dynasty]). The longest writing by Hu that has survived, the Zhouyi kouyi is an im-

---


9 Besides the Zhouyi kouyi, there are three extant pieces of Hu Yuan’s writings. First is Hu’s commentary (in bits and pieces) on the Analects and the Spring and Autumn Annals collected in: Song Yuan xue’an, 1:2b-3b. Second is a treatise on court musical instruments that Hu co-edited with Ruan Yi 阮逸, entitled Huangyou xinyue yiji 黃州新樂譜記 (Notes with Diagrams on the New Music of the Huang You Period [of Emperor Renzong]). The treatise is now available in: Yingyin Wenyuange Siku quanshu 影印文淵閣四庫全書 (Taiwan: Commercial Press, 1983) 54:451-483. Third is his commentary on the Great Plan chapter of the Book of Documents, Hongfan kouyi 洪範口義, also available in the above-mentioned Taiwan reprint of the Siku quanshu, 211:1-22. For a brief study of Hu’s commentary on the Great Plan, see Michael Nylan, The Shifting Center: The Original “Great Plan” and Later Readings. Monumenta Serica Monograph Series xxiv (Sankt Augustin – Nettetal: Steyler, 1992), pp. 63-97. In her bibliography (p. 182), Nylan mistook the Hongfan kouyi for Hongfan kuoji 洪範考異.
portant venue for understanding him as a thinker who seriously contemplated the roots of a perfect society. A complete commentary on the Yijing (including the sixty-four hexagrams, the line statements, and the Ten Wings), the Zhouyi kouyi shows the sophistication of Hu as a classical scholar who made the ancient classic speak to his tenth-century readers. In reading Hu's commentary, I follow what Kidder Smith calls "a study of the [Yijing] in history." In Smith’s words, a historical reading of an Yijing commentary “seek[s] to show how a classic was appropriated by later thinkers, [and] how a single text could be taken to mean many different things . . ." This method of reading an Yijing commentary as a historical text is particularly relevant to Hu Yuan. As will be demonstrated in the following, Hu was determined to challenge the Tang interpretation of the Yijing – the Zhouyi zhengyi 周易正义 (The True Meanings of Change of the Zhou [Dynasty]) of Kong Yingda 孔颖达 (574–648) – by offering a new reading of the classic. As part of his attempt to overcome the legacy of the Five Dynasties, Hu saw the Zhouyi zhengyi as the textual legitimization of what he considered to be erroneous practices in that chaotic period. By refuting the Zhouyi zhengyi, he expressed the desire of the first generation of the Northern Song scholar-literati to part ways with the past.

A Biography of Hu Yuan

Hu Yuan was born into a poor scholar family in Taizhou 泰州 (in present-day Jiangsu) in 993. Both Hu’s grandfather and father managed to secure only low-level administrative posts at local prefectures. As a child, Hu was known as a prodigy. He was proficient in writing by the age of seven and mastered the Five Classics by the age of thirteen. Despite his talents, however, he failed to pass the civil service examinations – a stigma that he had to carry throughout his life. Following a popular practice of the time, upon reaching adulthood, Hu left his family for Mount Tai (present-day Shandong) for further learning. For ten years, he hid on the mountain like a recluse and studied the Confucian classics with his two friends Sun Fu 孙复 and Shi Jie 石介 (1005–1045). During these ten years, usually regarded as the pivotal period of Hu’s life, he developed his own understanding of the Confucian classics. According to one account, Hu was so absorbed in his study that he even threw all of his family letters into a stream after spotting the words “peaceful and contented” (ping’an 平安) on them.

Hu worked for a while as a private teacher in the Zhejiang area before being invited in 1035 by Fan Zhongyan 范仲淹 (989–1052) to teach in the Suzhou Pre-


11 Song Yuan xue’an, 1:1a; Zhong Huimin, Songru Hu Yuan, pp. 20-21.

12 Song Biographies, p. 444.

13 Song Yuan xue’an, 1:1b; Zhong Huimin, Songru Hu Yuan, pp. 21-22.
fectural School. It was in Suzhou that he first earned fame as a strict teacher who enforced the school rules and demanded total dedication to learning from his students. In 1042, he was invited to teach in the Huzhou Prefectural School (near Suzhou). In Huzhou, he initiated his famous method of dividing students into the "chamber of classical studies" (jingyi zhai 經義齋) and the "chamber of administrative skills" (zhishi zhai 治事齋). In the former, students were required to develop their own views on the Confucian classics; in the latter, students were given special training in practical skills like public policy, national defense, and irrigation. Hu's method of teaching was so successful that it was adopted by the newly established Imperial Academy at Kaifeng in 1044.

Though his teaching method was adopted by the Imperial Academy, for eight years Hu did not have a chance to attend to its implementation. Between 1044 and 1052, Hu was commissioned by the government to revise the musical system for the court. He helped to prescribe a set of measurements for casting bronze bells, although many of which were later proven to be ineffective. It was in 1052 that Hu was offered a professorship in the prestigious Imperial Academy, reaching the apex of his career as a teacher. But being a former failed candidate of the civil service examinations, Hu's early days at the Imperial Academy were by no means easy. He was constantly challenged and, in many instances, despised. It was not until he had delivered a series of brilliant lectures on the Yi jing that he gained respect as a well-learned scholar. Our received text of the Zhouyi kouyi may be notes from these lectures compiled by Hu's student, Ni Tianyin 倪天錫. From then on, students from all corners of the country competed to enroll in the Imperial Academy to study under Hu. At one point, the student population grew so rapidly that the Imperial Academy had to enlarge its size by acquiring a nearby military base. Because of his popularity as a teacher, Hu was remembered by his contemporaries as the central figure responsible for giving fame to the Imperial Academy.

14 Songshi, 432:12835; Song Yuan xue'an, 1:1b; Zhong Huimin, Songru Hu Yuan, p. 22.
15 Song Yuan xue'an, 1:1b; Zhong Huimin, Songru Hu Yuan, p. 22.
17 Li Tao 李鶚 (ed.), Xu Zizhi tongjian changbian 續資治通鑑長編 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1979) 173:4175, 175:4243; Songshi, p. 12837; Songshi jishi benbo, p. 212; Song Yuan xue'an, 1:1b; Sang Biographies, p. 444.
18 Xu Zizhi tongjian changbian, 192:4635; Songshi, p. 13434; Songshi jishi benbo, p. 213.
19 Song Yuan xue'an, 1:3b; Zhong Huimin, Songru Hu Yuan, p. 24.
21 Songshi, 432:128 37; Zhong Huimin, Songru Hu Yuan, p. 25.
A Critique of Kong Yingda

In the "Preface" ("Fati" 發題) to his *Zhouyi kouyi*, Hu Yuan devoted considerable length to discussing the meaning of the word *yi* 易 of the *Yijing*. Being a classic with multiple layers including pictorial images, hexagram statements, line statements, and ten pieces of commentary (known as the Ten Wings) attributed to Confucius, the true nature of the *Yijing* had long been a subject of dispute among classical scholars before the tenth century.\(^{22}\) By defining the meaning of *yi* of the *Yijing*, Hu attempted to put an end to the controversy:

The *Yijing* is the great law that Fu Xi, King Wen, the Duke of Zhou, and Confucius bestowed to the ten thousand generations. It is the book about the changes of *sancai* [i.e., heaven, earth, and man] ... The Way of Changes is the principle of heaven and man. Speaking of changes in terms of the Way of Heaven, they are the completion of the ten thousand things due to the changes of the *yin* force and the *yang* force; they are the succession of the four seasons due to the changes of the hot weather and the cold weather; they are the appearance of day and night due to the changes in the position of the sun and the moon. Speaking of changes in terms of the Way of Man, they are the fortunes and misfortunes due to changes in gains and losses [in one's activity]; they are the comforts and discomforts due to the changes in man's sincerity; they are the orders and disorders due to the changes [in the relative power] of superior men and inferior men [in the government].\(^{23}\)

Seemingly straightforward, Hu's interpretation of *yi* was in sharp contrast to Kong Yingda's. One may even say that Hu's interpretation of *yi* was intended to be a critique of Kong's.

For Kong Yingda, the word *yi* had three meanings. In an essay accompanying the *Zhouyi zhengyi*, he pronounced that the word *yi* meant change, constancy and ease, all at once. By giving these three meanings to the word *yi*, Kong argued that there are three equally important components in the *Yijing*: (1) its description of the natural world and the human world as contingent and ever-changing, (2) its emphasis on the existence of a regularity or a pattern for all changes, and (3) its depiction of the spontaneity and effortlessness with which all changes take place in the universe.\(^{24}\) According to Kong, each of these three aspects of the *Yijing* points in its own way to the ineffable natural system (or *wu* 無) that gives rise to all beings. For instance, *yi* as constancy denotes the fact that the phenomenal world (you 有) in which human beings live is part of the grand natural system. Regardless of how autonomous the phenomenal world appears to be, it is governed by the ineffable natural system. In the same vein, *yi* as ease refers to the simplicity and effortlessness of the natural system in regulating all activities in the

---

\(^{22}\) Zhu Bokun 朱伯崑, *Yixue zhucuo shi 易學哲學史*, vol. 1 (Beijing: Beijing daxue chubanshe, 1986); Kidder Smith, *Sung Dynasty Uses of the I Ching*, pp. 5-25.

\(^{23}\) *Zhouyi kouyi*, Siku quanshu zhenben ed. (Taipei: Commercial Press), Preface: 1a-2b. In the *Yingyin Wenyuang Siku quanshu*, the *Zhouyi kouyi* appears in 8:169-564.

\(^{24}\) See Kong's essay, "Yi zhi san ming" 易之三名 (The Three Names of Yi), in: *Zhouyi zhengyi, Yingyin Wenyuang Siku quanshu*, 7:303-304.
universe without overtly intervening in them. As for yi as contingency, it describes the fear and anxiety that human beings have to endure when they fail to see their role in the natural system. With these three meanings of yi, Kong called on his readers to read the Yijing as “a pointer”—directing their attention from mundane human affairs to the grand natural system.

In contrast to Kong’s interpretation, Hu Yuan insisted that yi meant changes alone. His denial of the meanings “constant” and “easy” for yi was more than a disagreement on the meaning of the word. It was, in effect, a rejection of Kong’s reading of the Yijing as a pointer to the grand natural system. By restricting yi to mean changes alone, Hu affirmed the status of the Yijing as a book on phenomenal transformations in general and human affairs in particular. The significance of Hu’s position regarding the meaning of yi lies not so much in its novelty, but in the way it undermines Kong’s attempt to privilege the grand natural system (wu) over phenomenal affairs (you). By arguing that yi means changes alone, Hu not only treats phenomenal affairs as ontologically real, but also puts them on the same footing with the grand natural system as two indispensable parts of the universe. Underlying this viewpoint is a different cosmological outlook: the universe is an organic totality in which everything (visible or invisible, part and whole) intermixes and interchanges.

This cosmological outlook is elaborated at considerable length in Hu’s commentary on the Xici 鬻辞 (Attached Verbalizations). For example, in commenting on the statement “Therefore the eight trigrams succeed one another by turns, as the firm and the yielding displace each other,” he states:

Heaven originally lies above, and earth originally lies below. When the vital spirit from heaven descends and the vital spirit from earth ascends, the yang cosmic force will change into the yin cosmic force as soon as it is in full force, and the yin cosmic force will change into the yang cosmic force as soon as it is in full force. [In this manner,] when the yang cosmic force is firm, the yin cosmic force will be soft; when the yin cosmic force is reduced, the yang cosmic force will return with

---

28 As one of the Ten Wings, many parts of the Xici discuss cosmology. It is by no means accidental that Hu Yuan chose to expound his cosmological view by commenting on the Xici. For a study of the Xici, see Willard J. Peterson, “Making Connections: ‘Commentary on the Attached Verbalization’ of the Book of Change,” in: Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies 42.1 (June 1982), pp. 67-116.
strength. The firm and the soft cut and rub each other. They cause changes to each
other. Thus, the principle [for the generation] of the myriad things comes into be-
ing.30

In this commentary, Hu attempts to give an explanation of the creation of the uni-
verse. He believes that the universe is created by two cosmic forces, the yin and
the yang, in constant interaction. By constantly transforming into each other, the
two cosmic forces give dynamism to the universe and generate the myriad things.
Certainly, Hu’s cosmogony does not account for how the yin and the yang cosmic
forces come into being. Nor does he explain how the two forces come to intermix
and interchange with each other. But even with these crucial questions remaining
unsettled, he still makes his point clear that this universe is created by itself and
that it perpetuates itself through the incessant interchange of the yin and the yang
cosmic forces.31 With this point established, he has taken his first step toward
undermining Kong Yingda’s argument that there is an ineffable grand natural
system that “gives birth” (sheng 産) to all beings.

Based upon his cosmogony, Hu develops his dynamic view of the universe.
One place for him to air his view is the oft-quoted Xici statement “generation and
regeneration is called change.”32 Hu’s comment on the statement runs as follows:

“Generation and regeneration” means the yin cosmic force gives birth to the yang
cosmic force, and the yang cosmic force gives birth to the yin cosmic force. The
Way of heaven and earth as well as the virtue of a sage are called “the noble en-
terprise” when one speaks of them from the perspective of their significance in
bringing prosperity and abundance [to this world]. They are also known as “the
divine virtue” when one speaks of them from the perspective of their significance in
renewing [the myriad things] on a daily basis. And the way of generating and
completing [the myriad things in this world] takes the forms of transmutation and
metamorphosis, life and death. What is alive will soon become dead; and what is
dead will soon become alive. The “enterprise” and “virtue” of heaven and earth
and the sage are to keep generating and regenerating the myriad things without an
end.33

In this commentary, the description of the universe as a dynamic entity constantly
generating and regenerating itself is expressed in full. Since the universe creates
itself and perpetuates itself by the constant interchange of the yin and the yang
cosmic forces, unceasing transformation becomes a characterizing feature of the
universe. And since all transformations in this universe are nothing but the inter-

30 Zhouyi kouyi, “Xici shang” (Part one of Xici), p. 6b.
31 Hu Yuan was part of the Chinese philosophical tradition of concentrating on the universe being
an organic totality, rather than the origin of the universe. For further discussion on this character-
istic of Chinese philosophy, see Frederick W. Mote, Intellectual Foundations of China, 2nd
uity of Being: Chinese Visions of Nature,” in: id., Confucian Thought: Selfhood as Creative
32 Wilhelm, The I Ching, p. 299.
33 Zhouyi kouyi, “Xici shang,” p. 41b.
change between the yin and the yang cosmic forces, life and death – the two most
distinguishing stages of change in the phenomenal world – are not the beginning
and cessation of life in the conventional understanding. Rather, they are different
phases of the continuum of change espoused by the interaction of the two cosmic
forces. In this regard, what is living becomes dead, and what is dead becomes living,
depending on how the two cosmic forces interact at each point. When life
and death are interchangeable, all other elements in this universe – be they natural
or supernatural, visible or invisible, big or small, animate or inanimate – are
interchangeable as well.

From this dynamic view of the universe, Hu Yuan launches his strongest at-
tack on Kong Yingda’s attempt to privilege the ineffable natural system (wu) over
phenomenal affairs (you). In his commentary on the Xici statement, “Therefore:
What is above form is called Dao; what is within form is called tool,” he states:

The Way of heaven begins in formlessness (wu 形) and ends in form (you
形). Form and formlessness are both creations of the Way (Dao 道). The
Way is that which man models after; it is that by which [man] reaches heaven. Before
the existence of heaven and earth, the Way disperses in the vital forces. After
scattering in form, the Way hides within what is phenomenal. The Way is infinite
and not confined to formed objects. When the Way is applied to the phenomenal
world, it penetrates all things. When the Way is practiced in the human world, it
gives rise to all kinds of changes. All these are the essence of the Way. Indeed,
[the Way] begins in formlessness and ends in form.\(^{35}\)

In this commentary, Hu makes “the Way” the supreme concept in describing the
organic, ever-changing universe. In his mind, there is no category other than “the
Way” that can accurately portray the dynamism and the self-generative nature of
the universe. Even formlessness (wu) is only one aspect of “the Way.” And by
upholding “the Way” as the supreme category about the universe, Hu succeeds in
eliminating the precedence of wu over you which is central to Kong Yingda’s
reading of the Yi Jing. For Kong, the distinction of form and formlessness is the
key to understand the structure of the universe, and a crucial step in one’s quest
for transcending mundane daily life. For Hu, however, the distinction of form
and formlessness is meaningless because both are different phases of “the Way.”
He argues that no matter whether one focuses on mundane daily life or the grand
natural system, what one finds is still the same organic, ever-changing universe
in action.

The Yi Jing as a Classic on Human Affairs

Based upon his understanding of the meaning of yi, Hu suggests that the Yi Jing
should be read from a humanistic perspective. Without denying that there is a
cosmological dimension in the Yi Jing, he argues that the classic is at its best when
offering advice for perfecting human society and minimizing human misfortunes.

\(^{34}\) Wilhelm, The I Ching, p. 323.

\(^{35}\) Zhouyi kouyi, “Xici shang,” p. 112a.
To underscore this humanistic dimension of the Yiijing, he writes in the “Preface” to the Zhouyi kouyi:

Hence, the changes in the natural realm are growth and completion, which are constant on their own. The changes in the human realm depend upon how those on the higher positions [in the government] make their judgements.

Why must it be so [regarding the changes in the human realm]? [It is because,] if those with positions know that orders and disorders arise due to the changes [in the relative power] of superior men and inferior men, then they should always put superior men in government posts, and abandon the inferior men. [When this is done], what underneath heaven will always be in order, without disorder. [If those with positions] know that benefits and harms arise due to the changes of one’s sincerity, then they should employ purely sincerity, and get rid of insincerity. [When this is done], what they do will always be beneficial, without any harm. [If those with positions] know that fortunes and misfortunes come as a result of the changes in one’s gains or losses, then they should strive to gain in their activities, and avoid losses in their activities. [When this is done,] their activities will always be fortunate, without any misfortune. All these point to one thing: one must take great care in the changes in human affairs.36

This is an important passage for our understanding of Hu’s position on the Yiijing. In the passage, he first acknowledges that changes in both the natural realm and the human realm are discussed in the Yiijing. Then, he immediately adds that from a humanistic point of view, the Yiijing is most enlightening in its discussion of changes with respect to man. For him, changes in nature – no matter how spectacular and orderly they are – are beyond human control. They run their own course and man plays no part in them except to comply. Conversely, man’s acts are always significant to the changes in the human realm. His acts have a direct impact on his fortunes, comforts, and governing. In the human world, man is always an agent of change and a determining factor in his future. For Hu, the Yiijing’s discussion of changes in human affairs is an inexhaustible treasure for all reflective souls.37

A point of textual evidence for Hu’s humanistic reading of the Yiijing is that the classic ends with the hexagram weiji 未濟 (Incompletion, #64). At first glance, the hexagram jiji 豈濟 (Completion, #63) appears to be the more logical ending of the classic. With its perfect alignment of the yin lines and yang lines

36 Zhouyi kouyi, “Fati” (Preface), pp. 2b-3a.

37 Lin Yisheng calls Hu Yuan’s humanistic reading of the Yiijing “pure Confucian” (chun ru 純儒) (Hu Yuan de yili pixue, pp. 102-153). With all due respect for Lin’s contribution in offering a thoughtful analysis of the Zhouyi kouyi based on the Confucian categories of self-cultivation, administering one’s family, serving the people, and ordering the world, he appears to overlook the changing meaning of “Confucianism” and “Confucian scholars” over time. In many instances, what was considered as “pure Confucian” at one time was not be regarded as “pure” anymore at another time. For a discussion of the changes in the meaning of “Confucian scholars” from the seventh century to the twelfth century, see Bol, “This Culture of Ours,” pp. 32-76.
and its auspicious line statements, the hexagram *jiji* offers an assurance that everything is fine after one has gone through all the challenges in life. True to its name — “Completion” — the hexagram would provide a closure to the reader’s long journey of reading through the classic, and by extension, the long journey of life. Instead, the classic ends with the less desirable hexagram *weiji*. The hexagram is troubled by its line alignment — its *yin* lines and *yang* lines are apparently out of order, with a *yin* line in a *yang* position and vice versa. Also true to its name — “Incompletion” — the hexagram line statements are filled with warnings about imminent danger and suggestions for more work to be done. Hence, the question for many *Yijing* commentators (including the masterly Wang Bi 工弼, 226–249) is why the classic appears to end without an ending.

Attempting to offer an explanation to this peculiar ending of the *Yijing*, Hu writes in his commentary on *weiji*:

On the issue of why the sixty-four hexagrams begin with *qian* and *kun* and end with *weiji*, *qian* and *kun* connote the sources of growth and completion. They must therefore be placed at the beginning. *Weiji* has to be placed at the end because it is the nature of ordinary people to lose their heads when things seem to be in order. They may be unable to worry about dangers in times of comfort, or unable to think about annihilation in times of safety. As a result, they invite trouble. Hence, by ending the text with *weiji*, the sagely writer [of the *Yijing*] is giving us a profound lesson.

In explaining the significance of ending the *Yijing* with the hexagram *weiji*, Hu argues that complacency is the root of disaster. For him, everything in the hu-

---

38 The *jiji* is the perfect hexagram in terms of the order of its six lines. Reading from the bottom to the top, each of the six lines is in perfect position: a *yang* line at the bottom, aggressively engaged in creating new opportunity; a *yin* line in the second (the minister) position, submissive but loyal to the *yang* line in the fifth (the king) position; a *yang* line in the third position, ready to break new grounds in the transition from the lower trigram to the upper trigram; a *yin* line in the fourth position, taking the time to recuperate after a period of assertion; a *yang* line in the fifth (the king) position, in total command of the entire hexagram, and a *yin* line in the sixth position, enjoying retirement upon reaching old age. In part reflecting the perfect order of the six lines, the line statements of the six lines are predominantly auspicious. They contain such encouraging statements as “Success in small matters. Perseverance furthers,” “He gets his tail in the water. No blame,” and “The Illustrious Ancestor disciplines the Devil’s country. After three years he conquers it.” See Wilhelm, *The I Ching*, pp. 244-248.

39 A good example showing the inconclusiveness of the *weiji* is the line statement of its top (the sixth) line. The line statement runs as follows: “There is drinking of wine. In genuine confidence. No blame. But if one wets his head, he loses it, in truth.” See Wilhelm, *The I Ching*, p. 252.


41 *Zhouni kouyi*, “Xugua” (Sequence of Hexagrams), p. 28a.

42 In explaining the significance of the hexagram *weiji*, Hu Yuan has a great deal to share with Wang Bi. Although not as elegantly written as Wang Bi’s exegesis, Hu Yuan also takes the
man realm is in constant flux and in an incessant process of becoming. Any careless act can be detrimental, if not disastrous. Hence, man has to be prudent and circumspect in his acts. He has to expect danger when he is still secure, and plan for emergencies when everything is still in order. He has to be constantly self-reflective and self-critical in order to ensure his comfort and fortune. From this perspective, the entire text of the Yi Jing is meant to sharpen its readers' awareness of the contingent nature of the human world. Although it is divided into sixty-four hexagrams, three hundred and sixty lines, and the Ten Wings, the goal of the classic is to urge prudence in action. Precisely for this reason, the imperfect hexagram weiji is a better ending of the classic than the perfect hexagram jiji. While ending with the hexagram jiji gives readers a wrong impression that it is possible to establish a perfect order once and for all, the hexagram weiji reminds readers that they have to constantly search for a perfect order on earth. For Hu, the "profound lesson" in the ending of the Yi Jing is that human beings are in a constant process of renewing themselves and their social and political structure.

Human Activism versus Predeterminism

Underlying Hu Yuan's attempt to read the Yi Jing as a classic on human affairs was his belief in human activism. He believed that human beings, although to a great degree influenced by their environment, could take an active role in shaping their lives. He also believed that, as part of the universe, human beings were already fulfilling their cosmic mission by improving their social and political order. For Hu, since the universe is actively renewing itself with the interaction of the yin force and the yang force, human beings should be actively renewing themselves as well.

Hu's belief in human activism was at odds with the early Northern Song fatalism. Shaped by their experiences in the Five Dynasties when political power changed hands five times in less than sixty years, the early Northern Song emperors and high officials believed that human affairs were predetermined. They believed that human beings were forever barred from understanding the intent of heaven. What human beings could do was to follow what heaven had decided, known as the "mandate of heaven" (tianming 天命). For instance, partly to justify his rule, emperor Taizu 太祖 (r. 960–975) discussed repeatedly how he was put on the throne by heaven. Referring to the palace coup d'état in 960 that started the Song and to numerous occasions where he almost lost his life, emperor Taizu remarked that "the rise to power of an emperor depends on the mandate of heaven, neither can one seek for heaven's mandate nor can one refuse it." A few decades later, emperor Zhenzong went a step further in showing how heavily


43 Xu Zhizhi tongjian changbian, 1:30; Songshi, 49. See also Wang Fuzhi 王夫之, Song lun 宋論, Guoxue jiben congshu ed. (Taipei: Commercial Press, 1968), pp. 1-3.
human affairs were determined by the “mandate of heaven.” Having secured the northern borders by signing the treaty of Shanyuan with the Khitans in 1004,44 emperor Zhenzong indulged himself in receiving “the heavenly writings” (tianshu 天書) – supposedly a sign from heaven with specific instructions on governing. Orchestrated by the minister Wang Qinruo 王欽若 (960–1025), emperor Zhenzong spent years on the road paying tribute to heaven by visiting sacred sites and mountains.45 Likewise, many high officials of the early Northern Song such as Wang Pu 王溥 (922–982) and Xue Juzheng 薛居正 (912–981) continued to adopt the fatalistic world-view of the scholar-officials in the Five Dynasties. They passively accepted whatever came to them, and seldom asserted themselves in changing the course of events.46 A poem by Feng Dao 馮道 (882–954), which remained popular among scholar-officials until the early part of the eleventh century, illustrates this fatalistic world-view of many high officials of the early Northern Song:

Poverty and prosperity are all determined by the mandate [of heaven].
We have no need to sigh in sorrow.
So long as we keep performing good deeds,
We need not ascertain the future.
When winter passes away, the ice will melt.
When spring comes, the grass will grow.
I invite all of you to observe this principle.
The Way of Heaven will be abundantly clear.47

By rendering the Yijing into a classic on human activism, Hu Yuan intended to challenge this fatalistic view of the early Northern Song. He wanted to replace the passive, receptive attitude to life with one that stressed active involvement in changing the social and political order. More importantly, by articulating a dynamic cosmological view based on a reading of the Yijing, he sought to give the scholar-literati – who entered the Song government in huge numbers since the 990s – the confidence to participate in ordering the world.

---
46 On Wang Pu’s fatalism and its similarity with Feng Dao’s, see Hong Mai 洪濤, Rongzhai suibi 容齋隨筆 (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1978), pp. 516-517. On Xue Juzheng’s fatalism, see Tze-ki Hon, “Military Governance versus Civil Governance,” pp. 89-92. For the biographies of Wang Pu and Xue Juzheng, see Songshi, pp. 8799-8802, 9109-9110.
47 Feng Dao’s poem appears in: Wu Chuhou 吳處厚, Qingxiang zaji 青箱雜記 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1985), p. 16. The original of the poem runs as follows: 輸盡皆由命，何勞發嘆聲？但行好事，莫要問前程。冬去春須作，春來草自生。諸君聽此理，天道甚分明。
Wu Chuhou reported that until his time (around the 1080s) Feng Dao’s poem remained popular among the scholar-officials. An apologist for Feng Dao, he argued that Feng was a capable minister with superb skills in administration (pp. 16-17).
Sage versus Hermit

A recurring theme in the Zhouyi kouyi was sagehood. Repeatedly, Hu Yuan read the Yijing – sometimes at risk of twisting the text – as a treatise on the meaning of sagehood. In Hu’s mind, there was no doubt that the Yijing stood out among other ancient classics because of its emphasis on sagehood. For him, the discussion of sagehood in the Yijing begins with its first hexagram, qian (The Creative). In commenting on the line statement referring to the first line of the hexagram, “Hidden Dragon. Do not act,” he states:

“Hidden Dragon” here means the yang force is not manifest and remains in a hidden ground. “Do not act” is the sagacious writer’s warning to posterity not taking “hidden Dragon” as a virtue.

Why is it said like this? It is because man with his embodiment of the finest spirit of the Five Agents is born to be the most spiritual being among the myriad things. Yet among us, fools and unworthy are always in the majority, and wise and capable persons are often in short supply. Sages, with their embodiment of the completely refined virtue and with their endowment of the purity of heaven, are far more superior to the wise man. With his quality, a sage will penetrate all things and illuminate all events. Hence, a sage should make himself available to society. It is appropriate for him to complete his worldly enterprise by giving assistance to the emperor, bringing welfare to the people, and facilitating the myriad things. This is why the Wenyang says: “A superior man will practice his virtue in actions. Every day one can see him in actions.” Nowadays, those who have sagacious virtue and intelligence hide themselves from human affairs. Then, who is going to administer the fools and the unworthy in this world? [It is clear that those who choose to hide themselves] do not know the divine purpose of having sages on earth.

Hu’s interpretation of this line is unconventional. For most Yijing commentators since the sixth century, the line had been taken to mean that one must retreat from political activity when the government is in disarray. In the Zhouyi zhengyi, for instance, Kong Yingda cited emperor Shun (a farmer) in ancient antiquity and emperor Gaozu of Han (a junior military officer) in the second century B.C.E. as examples of “hiding dragons,” abstaining from serving the crumbling state. The strongest textual evidence for this kind of interpretation comes from Confucius’ exhortation in the Analects to hide in times of misgovernment.

49 The Wenyang is one of the Ten Wings of the Yijing. Divided into two parts, the Wenyang offers additional commentary on the first two hexagrams, qian and kun. Here Hu Yuan is referring to the Wenyang of qian.
50 Zhouyi kouyi, 1:5b-6a.
51 Zhouyi zhengyi, p. 311.
For Kong, “Hidden Dragon. Do not act” was a re-statement of Confucius’ well-known maxim: “When the Way prevails under Heaven, then show yourself; when it does not prevail, then hide.” In this regard, Hu’s interpretation of the line as not following the example of a hidden dragon is not only at odds with the received understanding of the line statement, but also casts doubt on the projected image of Confucius as an advocate of protesting by reclusion.

In addition, Hu’s interpretation directly goes against his own actions. Having spent ten years hiding on Mount Tai pondering the classics and discarding family letters upon seeing the words “peaceful and contented,” does he not seem to be a hermit? Having not passed the civil service examinations to become an official to serve the state, does he himself ever help in managing human affairs? Although we do not have any historical evidence on Hu having been criticized for being a hermit, judging by the furor centered upon Sun Fu (Hu’s studymate on Mount Tai) for his being a hermit, it is possible that the same accusation could have been made against him. Then, the questions for us are as follows: given his precarious position as a semi-hermit, why does Hu speak of a sage as not being a hermit? Why do being a sage and being a hermit have to be antithetical? How does Hu perceive his hiding on Mount Tai as categorically different from ordinary recluses?

2. The Master said to Yen Hui, “The Maxim ‘When wanted, then go; When set aside, then hide’ is one that you and I could certainly fulfil” (Analects 7:10; Waley, p. 124).

3. The Master said, “Be of unwavering good faith, love learning, if attacked be ready to die for the good Way. Do not enter a State that pursues dangerous courses, nor stay in one where the people have rebelled. When the Way prevails under Heaven, then show yourself; when it does not prevail, then hide. When the Way prevails in your own land, count it a disgrace to be needy and obscure; when the Way does not prevail in your land, then count it a disgrace to be rich and honoured” (Analects 8:13; Waley, p. 135).


Analects 8:13; Waley, p. 135.

Sun Fu was invited to serve in the government only after his student Shi Jie had defended his eremitism (see Songshi, p. 12833, and Song Yuan xue’an, 2-2-3). The editors of the Song Yuan xue’an related this episode to Shi Jie’s essay, “On Reclusion” (“Ming yin” 明隠, now preserved in full in: Cailai Shìxiànshēng wénjí 彼家石先生文集 [Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1984], pp. 95-96). In the essay, Shi explained the differences between Sun Fu’s retreat at Mount Tai and the retreats of other hermits. He argued that Sun Fu’s stay at Mount Tai was not a real retreat, but a preparation for an upcoming opportunity to serve the state. It is intriguing to notice that Shi’s argument in “Ming yin” is exactly the same as Hu Yuan’s interpretation of “hidden dragon.”
It is in light of these questions that Hu’s critique of Kong Yingda’s interpretation of “hidden dragon” becomes insightful. He says:

In [Kong Yingda’s] sub-commentary, the examples of emperor Shun farming on Mount Li, and Gaozu of Han being a junior military officer at River Si are cited [to elucidate the meaning of “hidden dragon”]. Were they hiding themselves? No, they were only cultivating and completing their virtues. At times, do sages not also find it imperative to hide themselves? Yes, but the fact is that they know the Way in them is yet to be implemented, and their mission is far from being fulfilled, so they engage in learning to cultivate and complete their sagely virtues, and implement them later in the whole world. They are never satisfied with spending their whole life in mountains and forests. Yet, there are occasions in which the entire government is in disarray. These are indeed times for hiding. That is why the Doctrine of the Mean says: “The Way of the superior man is abstruse and wondrous.” Be that as it may, it is still not hiding oneself. It is only hiding one’s body without forsaking one’s mission. It is done by not giving one’s private interest precedence over the public good.\(^{55}\)

In the above, Hu Yuan presents two contrasting views on being a hermit. On the one hand, he outlines the conventional position as expounded by Kong Yingda; that is, being a hermit is permissible in time of misgovernment. On the other hand, he enunciates his own perspective on the issue; that is, being a hermit is morally wrong regardless of the state of government. Through rounds of questions and answers, Hu gradually brings to the fore the central point of contention between these two positions – what is meant by being a hermit? For him, it is of course permissible for one to refrain from serving the state when it is already in disarray. Moreover, he does not find it objectionable to seclude oneself in the mountains as a sign of protest. On these two points, he shares the conventional view on being a hermit. Yet, what separates him from the others is the purpose of hiding. At this crucial juncture, Hu introduces a distinction between “hiding one’s body” and “forsaking one’s mission.” For him, a sage hiding on a mountain (like his stay on Mount Tai) is only hiding one’s body but not forsaking one’s mission. The hiding sage spends all of his time in seclusion, preparing himself for his upcoming opportunity to serve the public. By contrast, a hermit is one who hides on a mountain to sever all connections with human affairs, content with devoting the remainder of his life to his own enjoyment. For a sage, hiding on a mountain is only a compromise in times of trouble, a temporary retreat for a more meaningful service in the future. For a hermit, hiding on a mountain is his renunciation of his duties to humankind, and his declaration of the pursuit of happiness for himself. The distinction between the two lies less in the act of hiding than in whether one gives precedence to the public good or to private interest.

\(^{55}\) Zhouyi kouyi, 1:7b–8a.
Opposing the Practice of Honoring the Hermits

It is important to notice that Hu’s interpretation of “hidden dragon” was aimed at the tenth-century practice of honoring the hermits. In Hu’s times, being a hermit was considered to be a lofty undertaking, an expression of one’s supreme intelligence. Famous hermits, such as Chen Tuan 陳抟 (895–989) and Zhong Fang 鍾方 (955–1014), were regarded as wise men who possessed foreknowledge about the future and led their lives in complete harmony with the grand scheme of the universe.\(^{56}\) As the editors of the Songshi have pointed out, this respect for eremitism in the tenth century was in part a response to the rapid change of government (five times in less than sixty years) during the Five Dynasties period.\(^{57}\) By withdrawing themselves from society and enduring the hardship of living alone in the mountains, the hermits were thought of as performing a moral act, protesting against corrupted governments and irresponsible rulers. One example illustrating this respect for eremitism was the Taiping yulan 太平御覽 (Imperial Digest of the Reign of Grand Tranquility). Completed in 983 under the order of emperor Taizong and an epitome of the highest level of scholarship of the time,\(^{58}\) the Taiping yulan contained a full section on hermits, along with sections on loyal officials, devoted relatives, and necromancers. The section brought together all the important statements throughout history concerning the importance of eremitism.\(^{59}\) Another example of this tenth-century predilection was the early Northern Song emperors’ policy of honoring the hermits. To show that the Song government was categorically different from those in the Five Dynasties, the early Northern Song emperors (particularly emperors Taizong and Zhenzong) regularly granted audiences to the hermits in appreciation of their loftiness.\(^{60}\) With their presence in court, the early Northern Song emperors


\(^{57}\) In an attempt to defend the tenth-century practice of honoring the hermits, the editors of the Songshi began the “Biographies of the Hermits” (Yinji zhuang 隱逸傳) with a preface discussing why Chen Tuan and Zhong Fang were respected in their times (see p. 13417). The editors attributed the respect for eremitism to the political chaos during the Five Dynasties. Citing the line statements of hexagrams gu 蠻 (Decay, #18), dun 渠 (Retreat, #33), and gen 靈 (Keeping Still, #52), the editors argued that reclusion was considered a lofty undertaking at the time of the early Northern Song.


presence in court, the early Northern Song emperors wanted to prove that the Song had the moral support of the hermits, and the government was open-minded in seeking advice. In this regard, Hu Yuan’s interpretation of “hidden dragon” was aimed at correcting what he perceived as a widespread wrongdoing.

To fully understand how Hu Yuan rendered the Yi Jing to oppose the tenth-century practice of honoring the hermits, we need to examine his reading of the hexagram gu 君 (Decay, #18). In the tenth century, the hexagram gu was frequently evoked to justify eremitism. For example, in support of reclusion, the editors of the Taiping yulan began the section on hermits by citing the line statement of the top line of gu, which said: “He does not serve kings and princes, set himself higher goals.” For readers of the Taiping yulan, the line statement appeared to justify eremitism, rendering it as a lofty enterprise. For Hu Yuan, however, the line statement had nothing to do with eremitism. Rather, the line statement was about a graceful retirement of an official after spending his whole life serving in the government. Hu’s commentary on the line statement reads as follows:

In administering affairs, if one begins with care, then at the end his goals will be accomplished. ... If [an official] reaches high age when the government is in good order and the tasks of governing have been completed, he should be contented. Not being tempted by [the rewards in] high position, he should set himself higher goals by retiring from serving kings and princes.

In his commentary, Hu read the line statement as an exhortation for graceful retirement of high-ranking officials. Rather than a justification for eremitism, Hu reads “not serving kings and princes” to mean an aging official yielding his power in the government to enjoy his retirement. Instead of glorifying the loftiness of a hermit, Hu reads “setting himself higher goals” to mean the retiring official’s decision to give precedence to public good over his personal interest in power. Although we have no evidence to prove that Hu wrote this commentary in response to the Taiping yulan, it is apparent that in composing the commentary he had in mind what the line statement was taken to mean in his times.

hai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1981), 41:533-537; Songshi, pp. 58, 63, 72-73, 118-121, 128, 130, 140, 148-151, 13420-13426.

61 A good example showing the intention of the early Northern Song emperors in receiving the hermits is Chen Tuan’s attempt to explain away his reluctance in offering advice to the Song government. When pressed for advice during one of his visits to the imperial court, Chen responded that since the Song government was well-run and had brought peace to China after decades of chaos, he had nothing to offer to further improve the government. Apparently, emperor Taizong was satisfied with Chen’s answer. The emperor immediately promoted him to a higher rank and allowed him to return to the mountains a few months later. See Songshi, pp. 13420-13421.

62 Taiping yulan, p. 2290. Note that similar to the Taiping yulan, the editors of the “Biographies of Hermits” of the Songshi also began their preface by quoting the same line statement of gu (see Songshi, p. 13417). The translation of the line statement of gu is from Wilhelm, The I Ching, p. 78.

63 Zhouyi kouyi, 4:22b.
As if Hu wanted to make certain that his tenth-century readers would fully comprehend his point, he ended his commentary on the top line of gu with a direct reference to the practice of honoring the hermits:

It is unfortunate that today many of us take “higher goals” to mean not working for his family, respecting his parents, serving the government, being loyal to the emperor, and bringing benefits to the people. For them, having “higher goals” means withdrawing from society and hiding in the mountains. What they mean by “higher goals” is to keep company with animals, plants, and rocks. This is not the original meaning of the line statement of the top line of gu.  

In the above quotation, Hu makes clear that he opposes the practice of honoring the hermits. By referring to the hermits as the “companions” of animals, plants, and rocks, he underscores the danger of favoring eremitism — creating a lack of interest among scholar-literati in social and political affairs. For Hu, eremitism may be permissible or even respected in times of political chaos (such as the Five Dynasties). However, it should not be encouraged when a stable government (like that of the Song) is already in place. Times have changed, and social values should change accordingly. Whether or not Hu is right about the original meaning of the line statement of the top line of gu, he uses the line statement to call his readers’ attention to an outdated social practice, urging them to change it as soon as possible.

Sage for the Public

From a slightly different perspective, Hu’s objection to eremitism is more than a critique of contemporary practice. It points as well towards a re-definition of what sagehood is. As one recalls, the main thrust of Hu’s commentary on “hidden dragon” of hexagram qiian centers on the notion that a sage has to dedicate himself to the public good. It is the sacrifice of one’s personal interest for the sake of the common good that characterizes a sage — the highest stage for a learned man.

On the surface, there seems to be nothing new about Hu’s notion of sagehood. Both the Analects and the Mencius have been unequivocal in presenting sagehood in this manner. But what is significant about Hu’s interpretation is that

---

64 Zhouyi kouyi, 4:23a.
65 On many occasions, Hu Yuan discusses the importance of being timely. See, for example, his commentary on the hexagrams dun (Retreat, #33) and gen (Keeping Still, #52), the two hexagrams that the editors of the Songshi also cited in their preface to the “Biographical Section on the Hermits.” Unlike the editors of the Songshi, Hu argues that the two hexagrams only give conditional justification for eremitism. He stresses that if the time is not suitable to save the world by serving the government then one should hide, but if the time is right then one has to serve the government. See Zhouyi kouyi, 6:17b-22b; 9:9a-10b.
66 In the Analects, Confucius’ discussion of the concept of ren (altruism) is clearly centered upon one’s commitment to serve the public. The classic example is the Master’s dialogue with his student Zigong (or Tzu-Kung) on the concept of ren (translated by Waley as goodness): “Tzu-Kung said, ‘If a ruler not only conferred wide benefits upon the common people, but also
he was reiterating a standard Confucian notion of sagehood long since abandoned by the early Northern Song scholar-literati. For them, sagehood was the ideal state of a man who had freed himself from the captivity of mundane human affairs and who had formed one body with the natural order of the universe. They believed that a sage was no longer a human being, but a cosmic being; he was concerned about the habitual functioning of the entire universe, not just the changes in human society. Borrowing a Daoist term, they argued that a sage must be “non-active” (wuwei 無為), one who acts without action, teaches without teaching, and manages the human affairs without management. A sage, in short, had to keep his distance from mundane human affairs, and comment on the world from afar without participating in it. For scholar-literati of the early Northern Song, learning to be a sage meant learning to go beyond human affairs for a spiritual union with the cosmos. For them, the hermits such as Chen Tuan and Zhong Fang were their living exemplars for a sage. This notion of sagehood—conflating a hermit with a sage—was precisely what Hu sought to refute in his interpretation of “hidden dragon.”

By reiterating the standard Confucian notion of sagehood, Hu Yuan intended to inspire among his contemporaries an intense concern for the public good. Throughout the Zhouyi kouyi, taking care of the public was the single most important theme in Hu’s discussion of sagehood. A case in point is his comment on the line statement of the sixth line of the hexagram jing 井 (Well, #48), “The well is ready to harvest. Do not cover it.” 67 Hu comments:

“Harvest” means the harvest of crops. “Cover” means to conceal. The Way of a well is to benefit man by letting him draw water from it. Now, the sixth yin line is at the top of the hexagram residing in a yin position. This is the Way of a well in full completion. This is comparable to able and superior men who, having accomplished their cultivation of virtue and embodiment of righteousness, extend their service to the world and benefit all things on earth. This is the Way of the superior man in full completion.

“Do not cover it” means that when the Way of a well is in full completion at the sixth yin line, then the people from all over the world and travelers from all corners must be allowed to nourish themselves by drawing water from the well. The well

compassed the salvation of the whole State, what would you say of him? Surely, you would call him Good?” The Master said, “It would no longer be a matter of “Good.” He would without doubt be a Divine Sage. Even Yao and Shun could hardly criticize him. As for Goodness – you yourself desire rank and standing, then help others to get rank and standing. You want to turn your own merits to account; then help others to turn theirs to account – in fact, the ability to take one’s own feelings as a guide – that is the sort of thing that lies in the direction of Goodness” (Analects, 6:28; Waley, p. 122). A similar argument is also found in the Mencius. The best example is the debate between Mencius and King Wei at Liang on various issues like kingship, altruism, and the original nature of man. See Wing-tsit Chan, A Source Book in Chinese Philosophy (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1963), pp. 60-61.

67 My translation; Wilhelm’s translation reads as follows: “One draws from the well without hindrance” (The I Ching, p. 188). Although accurate in conveying the general meaning of the line statement, Wilhelm’s translation does not bring out its main idea – not covering the well (wuwei 無為) when it is ready to harvest (jingshou 井收).
cannot be covered for selfish reasons. This is comparable to a superior man, whose virtue is complete, who must extend his service to the world and let all mankind receive his benefits. He should not hide himself in the mountains and forests, and attain goodness for himself. He should not be stingy and should render service [to humankind].

In this commentary, Hu takes “the well” and “to cover” metaphorically. The central theme of the commentary is to extend the Yi jing statement to the issue of serving the public. By equating a well in full service with a superior man extending his service to humankind, Hu finds reason to condemn “the covering of a well,” or the forsaking of one’s duties to his fellow beings by hiding his talents. Hu makes his point clear that it is a sage’s duty to serve the public.

In other cases, Hu’s message is conveyed in the form of passionate exhortation. An example is found in his commentary on the Xici statement “[A man] is active everywhere but does not let himself be carried away.” Hu’s commentary reads:

A sage assumes the central position between heaven and earth, resides in a place facing south, eliminates his personal desires, and separates from vicious groupings. What he does is centered and just, straight and honest, treating the whole world as one family and the millions of people as having one single sentiment. Every act [of the sage] is done in accordance with the Way of Supreme Centrality.

Here “[A man] is active everywhere but does not let himself be carried away” is said, because a sage does not only aim at purifying himself. Equipped with his virtue of Utmost Centrality, a sage also seeks to align with heaven above, integrate with earth below, and unify with man in the middle. He does not have selfish desires and one-sided inclinations, nor is there anything beyond his concern. Even when he acts in the world, he is never selfish, errant, or deviant. All of this occurs because a sage is utmost fair and just.

From the above depiction, a sage is not only an anthropocosmic being (like Chen Tuan and Zhong Fang), providing a link between man on the one hand, and heaven and earth on the other. More importantly, a sage is one deeply involved in human affairs. By “treating the whole world as one family and the millions of people as having one sentiment,” a sage turns this world into his testing ground, proving and elevating himself through service to the public. Of course, the human world is full of vices, prejudices, and injustice. Anybody in it is at risk of being contaminated and debased. Yet, it is through countering human wickedness, transforming vices into good deeds, and providing care to others, that a sage completes his sagely mission.

Consistent with this argument that a sage has to complete his sagely mission in and through the human world, Hu specifies the basic criterion of judging sagehood. In commenting on the judgment statement of tongren 同人 (Fellowship

---

64 Zhouyi kouyi, 8:32b-33a.
with Men, #13), “Fellowship with men in the open. Success. It furthers one to cross the great water. The perseverance of the superior man furthers,” he writes:

A superior man has the mind of altruism and righteousness, and the way of honesty and forgiveness. He begins with himself and extends his virtues to the people. Therefore, he never worries about himself; he worries about the world; he never rejoices for himself; he rejoices for the world. The justice of a superior man is to have every man on earth share his view and follow his way. Hence, the way of tongren is to benefit the justly superior man alone.  

In this, one finds ample echoes of Fan Zhongyan’s well-known maxim that men of letters should be “first in worrying about the world’s troubles and last in enjoying its pleasures.” Expressed in a fashion remarkably similar to Fan, Hu argues that the criterion of a sage is: “he never worries about himself; he worries about the world; he never rejoices for himself; he rejoices for the world.” For both Fan and Hu, a sage must give priority to the public interest. It is by transcending one’s selfishness, expanding one’s horizon to embody others’ interests, and taking service to others as service to oneself, that a man can reach the highest plane of humanity—sagehood. And this similarity in outlook between the two persons might explain why Fan Zhongyan was the first high-ranking official who had invited Hu Yuan, then still a semi-hermit, to teach publicly. As the historian Qian Mu has noted, it was with Fan Zhongyan in the government implementing the Qingli 慶歷 reform in 1044–1045 and with Hu Yuan at schools teaching students new values that a public-serving spirit was ushered in among the early Northern Song scholar-literati.

The Mission of the Civil Bureaucrats

In stressing a public-serving spirit among the scholar-literati, one may say that Fan and Hu were articulating the mission of the tenth-century civil bureaucrats. As Peter Bol has pointed out, the civil bureaucrats in the tenth and eleventh century were substantially different from the scholar-officials of the large aristocratic clans from the sixth century to the ninth century. While the civil bureaucrats

---

71 Wilhelm, The I Ching, p. 56.

72 Zhouyi kouyi, 3:33a-b.


74 Qian Mu, Guoshi dagang 國史大綱 (Taipei: Commercial Press, 1956), pp. 396-398. See also Qian Mu, Song Ming lizue gaiian, pp. 30-31.

earned wealth and power by passing the civil service examinations and working in the government as officials, the aristocratic scholar-officials inherited wealth and power from their families, including their positions in the government. For the civil bureaucrats, their personal interests coincided with those of the government. They flourished only when the government was stable and a structure of recruitment was in place. By contrast, the aristocratic scholar-officials paid a premium on protecting family interests. Although in many instances to protect the interest of one’s family involved protecting the interest of the imperial court, the aristocratic scholar-officials never doubted that perpetuating the family interests always took precedence over perpetuating the interests of the state.76

Among the top officials in the Five Dynasties period and in the early decades of the Northern Song, many of them were the aristocratic scholar-officials. A good example is Feng Dao. He was willing to serve in four dynasties in the Five Dynasties period to perpetuate his family interests. In the preface to his “A Self-Portrait of the Ever-Happy Old Man,” he presented himself as a contented old gentleman who was proud of watching his family flourish under him.77 Although Feng Dao is now widely known to us as an “unethical man” because of Ouyang Xiu’s 歐陽修 (1007–1072) inflammatory biography of him,78 we should not lose sight of the fact that he continued to earn respect from the early Northern Song emperors and top officials at least until 1032.79 One reason for this was that Feng Dao personified many top officials in the early decades of the Northern Song government who traded their loyalty to an imperial court in order to perpetuate their family interests. A characteristic of these early Northern Song officials was that they took a passive role in policy-making, following rather than initiating orders. Unwilling to risk their careers in policy disputes, they never challenged the emperors openly, even though they might harbor different opinions. A case in point is the prime minister of emperor Taizu, Fan Zhi 范質 (911–964). Having served the last three dynasties in the Five Dynasties period, Fan Zhi was one of the leading officials helping to establish the Song government in the first few years after its establishment. In an overt attempt to earn favor from emperor

76 Ibid., pp. 32-75.
78 See Xin Wudai shi 新五代史 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1974), pp. 612-615.
79 The early Northern Song emperors continued to pay respect to Feng Dao by regularly granting official titles to his descendents. This practice continued as late as 1032; see Xu Zichi tongjian changbian, 111:2583. The policy of honoring Feng Dao appears to have stopped in 1051 after emperor Renzong refused to grant the great-grandson of Feng Dao a government title after his submission of Feng Dao’s policy papers to the government. See Xu Zichi tongjian changbian, 171:4108. On why Feng Dao was popular among scholar-officials during his lifetime and a hundred years after his death, see Wang Gung-wu, “Feng Tao: An Essay on Confucian Loyalty,” in: Confucian Personalities, ed. by Arthur F. Wright and Denis Twitchett (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1962), pp. 123-145; Lin Yongqin 林永欽, Qiqiang Kongzi - Feng Dao 驍騁孔子 — 馮道 (Taibei: Quida wenhua gufen youxian gongs, 1989), pp. 34, 155-163.
Taizu, Fan Zhi recommended the abolition of the practice of the emperor holding regular meetings with the prime minister, giving the emperor all the power he needed to decide policies. As the top official in the first few years of the Song government, Fan Zhi acted like an imperial secretary copying meticulously every word uttered by emperor Taizu, for fear of misrepresenting his orders. Another example is Zhao Pu 趙普 (922–992), who served as the prime minister of emperor Taizong. Joining the Song as a former official of the Zhou (the last dynasty in the Five Dynasties period), Zhao Pu was more assertive a prime minister than Fan Zhi. Yet, he never confronted emperor Taizong openly. His strategy for letting his views known was by repeatedly sending the same document to the emperor until it was accepted. If the emperor was irritated (in one case, Taizong tore his document into pieces), Zhao Pu would stop pursuing the subject and not mention it again until the following day. The passivity among the top officials appeared to continue during the reign of emperor Zhenzong. When the emperor engaged himself in extravagant travels to show off the "heavenly writings" and to pay tribute to sacred sites and mountains, none of the top officials dared to question his decision. One top official, Wang Dan 王旦 (957–1017), was reported to be ashamed of himself for not attempting to oppose the emperor’s self-indulgence.

In sharp contrast to the passivity and self-serving attitude of the aristocratic scholar-officials in the early decades of the Song, the civil bureaucrats of Fan Zhongyan’s generation believed that they “ruled the world with the emperor.” Entering into the bureaucracy through passing the civil service examinations, the civil bureaucrats in the 1040s thought that they had the responsibility to improve the government in order to ensure its longevity. They regarded advancing the interests of the government as important as, if not more important than, advancing their own. With James Liu’s study, it is now well known how the idealism of Fan’s generation was expressed in the short-lived Qingli reform in 1044–1045. Encapsulated in Fan’s ten-point proposal, the civil bureaucrats in the 1040s attempted to reconstitute the social and political structure of the Song to avoid the impending fiscal and military crises. Although it lasted only for a year and a half, the Qingli reform set the stage for even more aggressive reforms during the reign.
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of emperor Shenzong 神宗 (1068–1085). What is less well-known but perhaps equally important was the controversy that took place in 1032 surrounding emperor Renzong’s decision to demote empress Guo. Risking their careers if not their lives, the young censors Fan Zhongyan and Kong Daofu 龔道辅 (986–1039) stood up to oppose the emperor’s decision by protesting in front of the imperial palace. Also putting their careers on the line, other censors and officials lent support to Fan and Kong, when the emperor sent his prime minister to reiterate his decision to the protesting censors. When the emperor decided to punish the outspoken censors and officials, many of them took the punishment without regret, regarding it their duty to suffer for the just cause. This self-sacrificing spirit of the civil bureaucrats shocked not only the young emperor Renzong (who just began to rule after eleven years of empress Liu’s regency), but also many of the high officials. So used to follow orders from emperors, many of the high officials could not comprehend why the young censors and officials were eager to give up their future to protest a seemingly minor decision of emperor Renzong.

Conclusion

We do not know whether, in commenting on the Yijing, Hu Yuan had the demotion of Empress Guo or the Qingli reform in mind. But it is clear that in the Zhouyi kouyi he expressed the idealism of the civil bureaucrats and their desire to participate in the broad social and political changes in the early Northern Song. When he said that “a sage] never worries about himself, he worries about the world; he never rejoices for himself, he rejoices for the world,” he captured the self-sacrificing spirit of Fan’s generation in their attempt to order the world. By defining a sage as a public-spirited person who treated “the whole world as one family and the millions of people as having one sentiment,” he gave voice to the mission of the civil bureaucrats of the 1030’s and 1040’s, who believed that they ruled the world with the emperor.

In this regard, Hu Yuan’s discussion of sagehood in the Zhouyi kouyi was never a repetition of the past but a direct attempt to address the contemporary
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needs. The sagehood of the Zhouyi kouyi was meant for the civil bureaucrats who increasingly controlled the Song government. It was to remind the civil bureaucrats that the regularity in nature was applicable to human society, and human beings were capable of constructing a social and political structure as lasting as the natural system. Of course, political in-fighting and factional struggles following the Qingli Reform showed that the civil bureaucrats might have overlooked the complexity of politics and overestimated their power to change the world. Yet, as captured vividly in Hu’s Zhouyi kouyi, the civil bureaucrats of the 1030s and 1040s were determined to part ways with the aristocratic scholar-officials, who had dominated the political scene for centuries. After decades of efforts by the Song emperors to replace military governance with civil governance, finally the civil bureaucrats had formed a new identity that separated them from their predecessors. They wanted to join the Song emperors in founding a lasting social and political institution that would bring harmony and unity to the world.